Integrity in Research – The Cornerstone of Trust in Science

Animesh Jain

Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Kasturba Medical College Mangalore, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, India

Correspondence: Dr. Animesh Jain, Email: animesh.jain@manipal.edu

In recent years, the credibility of research has come under intense scrutiny. Scientific inquiry is built on curiosity, rigor, and honesty – yet, the very foundations are sometimes shaken by instances of misconduct, ranging from data fabrication and plagiarism to questionable authorship practices. Retractions of published papers, once considered rare and exceptional, have now become a regular feature in scholarly communication. Each retraction represents not just a blemish on the record of an individual or institution, but also an erosion of public trust in science. Institutions play an important role in upholding the values and integrity of research and science.

The Emerging Challenge:

The pressure to publish, mandatory norms by regulatory bodies, heightened competition for grants, ranking agencies and institutional expectations often drive researchers toward a "publish or perish" mindset. This, unfortunately, creates fertile ground for shortcuts and malpractice. Research misconduct does not merely distort the academic record; it can misguide policy, waste resources, and especially in health sciences endanger lives.

Globally, there is a growing call to move beyond individual blame and toward systemic solutions. Integrity in research must be cultivated as much at the institutional level as at the individual. After all, the research ecosystem is only as strong as its weakest link.

A recent study examined 3,244 retracted papers linked to Indian institutions.[1] The analysis revealed a steady increase in retractions over the years. About 60% came from private institutions, with fake peer review being the most common reason. Retractions in public and medical institutions were largely tied to data integrity issues, while plagiarism was more frequently seen in non-Scopus indexed journals and conference proceedings. Around 80% of retractions arose from collaborations within India, compared to about 20% from international partnerships. Notably, many of these retractions involved journals in the higher quartiles (Q1–Q2).

Complementing these findings, an article in *Nature* highlighted that India's growing research output has been accompanied by widening lapses in compliance, especially regarding ethical approvals, institutional oversight, and peer review mechanisms.[2]

These trends illustrate that misconduct is not merely a matter of individual failings; it reflects structural issues – pressures to publish, inadequate oversight, unequal capacity among institutions, and perhaps incentive systems that reward quantity over quality.

Recently there have been newer developments and an index is being considered and discussed in scholarly circles. The **Research Integrity Risk Index (RI²)** developed by Lokman I. Meho and colleagues, is a composite metric intended to assess integrity risks at the institutional level.[3] It combines indicators such as *retraction rate* (R-Rate), *proportion of publications in delisted journals* (D-Rate), and *institutional self-citation rate* (S-Rate).

Thirty-two Indian universities have been flagged up as being among the most 'at risk' worldwide by Research

Integrity Risk Index (RI²).[4] Further to this, the National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) will now count retractions from Scopus and Web of Science over a three-year window. Institutions with persistent integrity issues may even be barred from future rankings, while Institutions with higher retraction counts will face steeper penalties according to Anil Sahasrabudhe, who chairs the National Board of Accreditation.[5]

Points to ponder and the Way forward:

Of late, there has also been an increase in the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI). While there is no denying the fact that AI is here to stay, and the best way is to adapt and adopt, what is needed is to inculcate ethical and judicious use of AI while retaining human supremacy. Technology can be harnessed and rather not be allowed to be a master or supersede human intelligence.

Integrity in research is not an abstract ideal; it is a daily practice. Institutions and researchers must recognize that credibility, once lost, is difficult to regain. As custodians of public health knowledge, we bear a special responsibility to uphold standards that inspire confidence among policymakers, practitioners, and the community at large. If ethical issues, authorship disputes or retractions are the symptom, systemic reforms are the cure. The future of research lies not in avoiding scrutiny, but in embracing transparency. Only then can science retain its rightful place as society's most trusted guide.

Building a culture of research integrity requires several key steps: integrating ethics into training and workshops; encouraging transparency through open data and ethical peer review; and creating safe systems for confidential reporting. Institutions should also reward the quality and impact of research rather than sheer output, while regular audits of research practices help identify gaps and drive continuous improvement. To strengthen research integrity, institutions can adopt dual monitoring systems that combine external signals like retractions with internal audits to guide capacity building. Ethics committees and scientific review boards should be trained, independent, and well-documented. Clear, transparent procedures for handling misconduct with protections for whistleblowers and publicly shared findings are essential. Finally, promotion and funding criteria should shift from publication counts to valuing rigor, reproducibility, openness, and societal impact.

Regional and institutional journals should adopt clear AI disclosure and authorship policies in line with global standards, strengthen peer review by verifying reviewer identities and detecting manipulation, and ensure retraction or correction notices are issued quickly and transparently to maintain academic integrity.

In the race to add numbers, have a higher h-index and citations, or probably a better ranking, individuals and institutions sometimes end up in a rat race without being mindful of the purpose. I am of firm belief that it would rather be prudent to do meaningful work which transforms lives and changes situation on the ground, than to have high impact publications or unethical and dubious practices. Once a good work is done and gets published, it will automatically yield results. There is no short cut to it.

Conclusion:

Integrity in research is not an optional add-on; it is foundational. Without trust, the discoveries, policies, and health interventions we aim to deliver are vulnerable to doubt and misuse. The rising number of retractions, the complexities of misconduct, and the uneven institutional landscape present a clarion call: we must build robust integrity systems at every level – from individual researchers up to national policy.

References:

1. Sharma K. Over Two Decades of Scientific Misconduct in India: Retraction Reasons and Journal Quality among Inter-country and Intra-country Institutional Collaboration. arXiv:2404.15306 [Preprint]. March 29, 2024 [Cited 2025 Sept 24]. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2404.15306

2. Priyadarshini S. India's research retraction surge sparks call for reform. Nat India. 2025 [cited 2025 Sept 24]; Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/d44151-025-00141-y

3. Meho, L. I. (2025). Gaming the Metrics? Bibliometric Anomalies and the Integrity Crisis in Global Research. arXiv:2505.06448 [Preprint]. May 9, 2025 [Cited 2025 Sept 27]. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2505.06448

4. Niazi S. 'At risk' universities point to need for systemic change. University World News. [cited 2025 Sept 29]. Available from: https://www.universityworldnews.com/post.php?story=20250717170502259

5. Fernandes S, Maurya S. NIRF ranking 2025: Higher education institutes to be penalised for retracted papers. The Hindustan Times. 2025 Aug 8 [cited 2025 Sept 29]; Available from: https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/nirf-ranking-2025-higher-education-institutes-to-be-penalised-for-retracted-papers-101754643154352.html